

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,577	04/23/2001	Oliver Heid	P01,0139	3801	
26574	7590 11/14/2002				
SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE			EXAMINER		
6600 SEARS 233 S WAC	KER DR		VARGAS, DIXOMARA		
CHICAGO,	IL 60606-6473		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2862	-	
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		2h
			oplicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	09/840,577	HEID, OLIVER	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication on	Dixomara Vargas	2862	
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wil	th the correspondence address	
- Extermite after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. maions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communications.	cation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04.	September 2002		
2a) <u></u> □		nis action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the mer . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
Application	on Papers	a salan ra quiremoni.		
9)⊠ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>23 April 2001</u> is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	b by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	approved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		•	
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🏻 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	〗All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
• 1	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		lication No.	
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burd te the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			ation)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provking the translation of the foreign language provking. The translation is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been	n received.	
Attachment(s				
2) Notice (I) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info.	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trade O-326 (Rev.	0.4.043	on Summary	Part of Paper N	

Application/Control Number: 09/840,577

Art Unit: 2862

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because the following reasons:
 - a. In Figure 2, reference numbers 1a referring to the gradient system and 15a referring to the carrier are pointing to the same part. The examiner suggests clarifying what is the carrier on the system.
 - b. In Figure 1, the components 1-3 should be labeled.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the resin casting must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the location or structural relationship of the cooling device with the complete system and the carrier structure with respect to the electrical conductor. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Furukawa (US 5,489,848).



Application/Control Number: 09/840,577

Art Unit: 2862

With respect to claim 1, Furukawa discloses an electrical coil suitable for use as a gradient coil for a magnetic resonance apparatus, comprising: at least one electrical conductor (Figure 7, #34); a carrier structure (the vacuum vessel that retains the gradient system of Figure 2, #22; Column 4, lines 23-33), a cooling device component (Figure 2, #23; Column 4, lines 34-37); and a heat insulator (Figure 3, #386) disposed between at least one section of said conductor and said carrier structure (Figure 3).

- 9. With respect to claim 2, Furukawa discloses at least one section of said conductor is a hollow cylinder adapted for guiding a flowing cooling medium therein (Figures 3 and 5).
- 10. With respect to claim 3, Furukawa discloses said cooling device component cools at least one section of said conductor (Figures 3 and 5).
- With respect to claim 4, Furukawa discloses at least said one section of said conductor cooled by said cooling device proceeds in an edge region of a spatial extent of said coil (Figures 3 and 5).
- 12. With respect to claim 5, Furukawa discloses said coil has a spatial extent forming a hollow cylinder, and wherein said at least one section of said conductor cooled by said cooling component proceeds in a region of a front side of said hollow cylinder (Figures 3 and 5).
- 13. With respect to claim 6, Furukawa discloses said heat insulator surrounds said conductor (Figures 3 and 5).
- 14. With respect to claims 7 and 8, Furukawa implicitly discloses said heat insulator has a lower thermal conductivity than said carrier structure since the insulator may be composed of plastic (Column 3, lines 64-66) and the carrier may be composed of resin (Column 4, lines 58-



Application/Control Number: 09/840,577

Art Unit: 2862

- 61) which in consequence can be concluded that the insulator materials as stated, have a lower thermal conductivity than said carrier structure.
- 15. With respect to claim 9, Furukawa discloses said carrier structure comprises a resin casting (Column 4, lines 58-61).
- 16. With respect to claim 10, Furukawa discloses said carrier structure includes elements for reducing non-homogeneity of a magnetic field in which said carrier structure and said conductor are disposed (Abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furukawa (US 5,489,848).

With respect to claims 11, 12 and 13, Furukawa discloses the claimed invention except for said heat insulator comprises fibrous material, or high-resistance foam material, or a material selected from the group consisting of glass, ceramic, mineral materials and polymer materials. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Furukawa for the purpose of improving the system by avoiding eddy currents or other inhomogeneities caused by using a more suitable material for the heat insulator.



Art Unit: 2862

Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional prior art cited at the PTO 892 discloses different arrangements for gradient systems with cooling devices and gradient support systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (703) 305-5705.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (703) 305-4816. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

Dixomara Vargas

November 12, 2002

EDWARD LEFKOWAYZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2800